

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,129		04/21/2004	Chung-I Wang	386998051US	5438
25096	7590	12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
PERKINS	COIE LI	LP .	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
PATENT-S	EA				
P.O. BOX 1	247	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 98	111-1247	2871		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω				
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
••		10/829,129	WANG ET AL.				
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dung Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the North Street SIX (6) MONTHS from the North Street SIX (6) MONTHS from the North S	ONGER, FROM THE MAILING e available under the provisions of 37 CFR of the mailing date of this communication. pecified above, the maximum statutory perior set or extended period for reply will. by statu	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONT DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON ing date of this communication, even if timely file	NN. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 30	August 2006.	•				
2a)⊠ This action is	_	is action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	· ·	rance except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	3,10-15,17-19,21-26,28 and 30 ove claim(s) is/are withdr	- <u>34</u> is/are pending in the application and from consideration.	on.				
5)			•				
· · · ·	8, 10-15, 17-19, 21-26, 28 and	30-34 is/are rejected.					
	_ is/are objected to.						
	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•	•				
9) The specificat	on is objected to by the Exami	ner.	,				
10) The drawing (s	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may	not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement d	rawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or de	eclaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgm	ent is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	ome * c)□ None of:						
·	d copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	• •	nts have been received in Applica	tion No				
		iority documents have been receive					
applica	tion from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attache	ed detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	red.				
		•					
Attachment(s)	24-4 (DTO 000)	A) []	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References (Notice of Draftsperson 	Cited (PTO-892) 's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I					
	Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment dated 08/30/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 1-6, 8, 10-15, 17-19, 21-26, 28 and newly added claims 30-34 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-15, 17-19, 21-26, 28 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu, US Patent No. 6,549,257, in view of Takeda et al., US Patent No. 6,724,452.

Regarding the above claims, Liu discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) device (figures 2-4) comprising:

- . two substrates (408 and 409);
- a lower substrate including a multi domain pattern (202/301/421-423) as claimed, pixel electrode (405), a plurality of transistor (i.e., thin film transistor TFT) inherently forming in the LCD device for driving purposes, wherein a multi domain pattern composed of a frame pattern (i.e., peripheral portion) and a pixel diving pattern/contact pattern (i.e., inside portions)
- an upper substrate including a plurality of strip patterns (303/411-414) as claimed, a common electrode (406)
 - . a liquid crystal layer (407);
 - . two polarizers (401 and 402).

Art Unit: 2871

Although Liu does not disclose the strip patterns having a slit structure, Takeda et al. do disclose that a strip patterns can be formed as a bump and/or slit structure (see figures 12A-12C). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Liu's strip patterns having slit structures as shown by Takeda et al., since it is a common practice in the art and the use of the protrusion (bump) and/or slit would be interchangeable in order to align liquid crystal molecules (i.e., forming a multi domain).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 08/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' arguments are as follow:

- a. the Liu's surrounding bumps and the central wall bump on two different substrates while all the bumps of the invention are formed on one substrate. The unexpected inventive step between Liu and the invention are nonobvious.
- The motivation to combine Takeda with Liu is inconceivable and absent in both disclosures.
- c. The present invention focuses on diving a single pixel into multi-domain and the added a slit structure to increase response time.

The Examiner's responses are as follow:

a. As stated above, the bump (e.g. 301) can be a combination of a surrounding bumps and a central wall bump as claimed. In other words, the Liu's bump and the Applicant's multi-domain pattern would be the same as well.

Art Unit: 2871

- b. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's viewpoint. In particular, Takeda et al do show bumps and slips can be interchangeably formed over the substrate since both having a same function of aligning liquid crystal molecules in each domain. Therefore, the modification to Liu's bump by forming a slits (over the other substrate) would have been obvious.
- c. The combination of Liu and Takeda, as sated above, would have the same the Applicant's device as well. As a result, a response time would be increased as well. In response to Applicant's argument that the modification to Liu does not include certain features of Applicant's invention, the limitations on which the Applicant relies (i.e., domain in a single pixel) are not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is the claims, not specifications flat arc anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v. Advanced MicroDevices Inc., 7 USPQ 2d 1064.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2871

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 11/27/2006 Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871